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Abstract

Three reinforced concrete (RC) circular column specimens without an effective concrete cover were tested under constant axial compressive as well as cyclic lateral loading. The seismic behavior of the specimens under different loading paths was examined with the objective of understanding the influence of displacement history sequence on the seismic behavior of the columns in near-fault earthquakes. The influence of displacement history sequence upon the hysteretic characteristics, stiffness degradation, lateral capacity as well as energy dissipation analysis was conducted. The hoop strains of lateral reinforcement at varied column heights under cyclic loading were attained by means of 8-16 strain gauges attached along the hoops. Additionally, the characteristics of strain distribution were investigated in the transverse reinforcement. The results of strain distribution were evaluated with Mander’s confinement stress model and the distribution around the cross section. The length of the plastic hinge at the end of the specimen was evaluated by measurement as well as the inverse analysis. Finally, the deformation of the specimen, which includes the components of shear deformation, bending deformation and bonding-slip deformation, was evaluated and successfully separated.
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Introduction

Near-fault ground motion recorded in recent years (1994 Northridge, 1995 Kobe and 1999 Chi-Chi) have provided clear evidence that the near-field earthquakes may lead a serious damage to the reinforced concrete (RC) structures, and the impulsive load is unique compared with the far-fault earthquakes. Near-fault ground motions differ from far-field ground motions in that they often contain a long period high velocity pulse in the fault-normal direction and permanent ground displacement (Somerville 2002). The high amplitude pulse is attributed to the near-fault forward directivity effect, which is the result of the fault rupture velocity being approximately equal to the shear wave velocity. At the same time, most of the energy was produced with high amplitude pulse at the site in a short duration (Austin Brown. 2009). The largest deformation demands in the earthquake are associated with the first fewer reversed cycles of impulse loading (Kalkan and Kunnath 2006). In the near-fault earthquakes, the spectra were different from which in normal ground motions, and the velocity-sensitive region in near-fault motion spectra is much narrower than the far-field motion (Chopra and Chintanpakdee 2001). Liu (2010) revealed that the RC frame structures designed based on current design spectrum are inadequate for around 70% ground motion records considering the near-fault effects. In addition, larger story drift angles beyond the limits in provisions will be resulted when PGV/PGA is over 0.2. Energy caused by the impulsive ground motion of the structure will lead to a strong ductility demand on multistory structures (Hall et al.1995).

In the past few decades, although some studies on the seismic performance of RC columns under the pulse-like ground motions were conducted, few tests resulted in consistent each other. Pujol et al. (2006) tested 16 RC columns with rectangular section were tested in the laboratory by Pujol et al.(2006). It was found that displacement history before the yielding point of the column was found no influence on the capacity of the specimen, while the drift capacity correlated with the amplitudes and number of cycles. In contrast, Hwang (1984) argued that the impulsive loading showed no effect on the displacement
ductility capacity for flexural column. This argument was consistent with that of Hamilton et al. (2001) and Gibson et al. (2002). As recommended and concluded by ACI 374.2R-13, the normal loading history has two significant parameters, which are the increment and the number of cycles at each deformation level. Priestley (1987) used an increasing loading history, and the increment is the first yield displacement with 2 cycles at each level. In Aboutaha et al. (1999) tests, the increment was particularly chosen at drift ratio of 0.5\%, which was the same as Mo et al. (2000). Different loading history is employed for different objectives. These incorporate near-field effects, which was rested by Orozco et al. (2002). Loh et al. (2002) pointed out that the permanent displacement was not obvious in the pseudo-dynamic test. Kawashima et al. (1998), Phan et al. (2007) and Hoon Choi et al. (2010) concluded that large residual displacement on one side of the columns could be generated by the near-fault ground motions. Hoon et al. (2010) reported that the ductility capacity and measured plastic hinge lengths for columns under near-fault earthquakes were similar to that under far-field earthquakes. Recently, a study by Yi et.al (2012) found both the axial loading value and the loading path have influences on the length of the plastic hinge region along the columns. Generally, it was concluded that although a significant number of dynamic tests have been conducted on the column specimens, far fewer tests were performed to discuss the seismic behaviors of the columns under pulse-like motions.

Current seismic design criteria in provisions for RC columns which were mainly developed based on far-field ground motions. The general standard loading sequence cannot represent the influence of near-fault ground motion on the structural performance. The difference between the near-fault ground motion and far field ground motion lies in the different sequence of the peak loading arriving in the loading cycles. Based on the characteristics of the near-fault seismic displacement demand, a particular loading pattern was designed to simulate several cyclic peaks in the early stage of the loading history. The new loading sequence may result in different findings about the characteristic of stiffness degradation, energy dissipation and confined stress etc. Three RC circular column specimens were tested under reversed
cyclic loading to investigate the impact of different loading histories on seismic behavior of the columns.

Experimental Procedure

Specimen design

Three specimens were designed in the Structural Laboratory of Hunan University. The columns had a clear height of 2400mm with a cross section of 370mm. The outer surface of the transverse reinforcement was exposed to air. The exposure made strain gauge installation much easier and ensured the quality of installation. The details of the experimental parameters and reinforcement instrumentation were shown in Table 1 and Fig.1. Three columns were reinforced with eight HRB400 (GB50010-2010) longitudinal reinforcing bars (diameter $\Phi = 14$mm) with a yielding strength $f_y$ of 421MPa. The total longitudinal reinforcement ratios of columns were 1.15%, while the transverse reinforcement consists of HRB235 steel hoops (diameter $\Phi = 10$mm, spacing $= 100$mm , transverse reinforcement ratio is 0.9%).

Test Setup

With large-scale testing facility, the tests were conducted at the Structural Laboratory of Hunan University as shown in Fig.2-3. The top and bottom stubs of the column specimen were post-tensioned to an L-shape loading arm and the reaction floor beam by 8 tie-down rods, respectively. The cyclic lateral loading was applied by a German SCHENCK actuator, which was connected to the L-shape loading arm with the inflection point occurring at its mid-height. The loading actuator has a capacity of 630kN and a stroke of 500mm in both positive and negative directions. The unbalanced moment caused by eccentric loading of the beam itself was balanced by two US MTS vertical actuators, which have a
capacity of 1000kN and a stroke of 500mm. Two vertical actuators applied a constant axial force 1600kN on the loading beam and kept it parallel to the base by adjusting vertical displacements. All the servo actuators have internal LVDTs and load cells, and the hysteretic curve data were auto-recorded by data acquisition system of the MTS controller. An amendment was applied in the test, in which the lateral component of the axial load has been subtracted from the lateral load.

**Instrumentation**

During the test, the bottom rigid stub of the specimen was mounted by the steel beams and bolts. The corresponding relative displacements between footing and floor were measured by two TML TDP-10 displacement transducers. In the potential plastic hinge region, strain gauges were installed along the circumferential direction of the hoops as shown in Fig. 4. In total 72 strain gauges were utilized to measure transverse deformation and the hoop strain. For example, T-1-9 denotes the strain gauge located at the 9th point along the 1st circular hoop at the top (T) end of the column.

10 displacement transducers were mounted at the footing of the column to measure the bending deformation, shear deformation and bonding-slip deformation. The instrumentation layout of the displacement transducers in plastic hinge region was shown in Fig. 5. Embedded bolts extended 100mm depth into concrete core, and the displacement transducers were attached between them. Thus the curvature and shear deformation in plastic hinge can be calculated by Eqs. (1)-(2),

\[ \Phi_i = \frac{(\Delta_L - \Delta_R)}{L_i H_i} \]  

\[ \gamma = \frac{\sqrt{2\Delta}}{h} \]  

In which \( \Phi \) denotes curvature; \( \Delta_L \) and \( \Delta_R \) are measured deformation of the column by left and right displacement transducers; \( H_i \) means the distance of adjacent embedded bar at each level; \( L_i \) denotes the
distance between displacement transducer center line at different level; $\gamma$ is shear deformation; $\Delta$ means elongation value of inclined displacement transducer and $h$ means the height of shear strain measurement region.

**Loading Program**

The specimens were tested by using displacement control. Three types of loading procedures were used for different specimen as shown in Fig.6. Lateral load reversals in push and pull directions were symmetric. The purpose for designing L-1 was used to estimate the drift ratio. L-1 was applied increasing cyclic lateral loading as shown in Fig. 6(a), so as to measure the ductility of the column designed under current seismic code. In each drift ratio, the constant cycle repeated twice. L-2 and L-3 were subjected to opposite loadings to study the seismic performance of columns under different loading histories which were shown in Fig. 6(b)–(c). As to L-2, the amplitude of the first 14 cycles was upgraded from 0.5% to 2% in the loading pattern by an increment of 0.25%. However, a reversed sequence was applied to L-3. Specimens subjected to subsequent cyclic lateral loading were found with an equivalent 2% drift ratio. The equal loading sequences were designed to investigate the residual seismic performance. L-2 and L-3 are to be mainly focused on the following content.

**Experimental Observations**

**Specimen L-1**

The yielding load of Specimen L-1 reached 171.53kN at the drift ratio of 0.75% during the 3rd cycle. When the drift ratio firstly reached 1% (24mm), several flexural cracks parallel to the base with maximum width of 0.13mm were appeared at the tension side of the plastic hinge. Meanwhile the concrete between adjacent hoops was spalling. Thereafter, local buckling near the footing and more spallings of the concrete were found at the drift ratio of 2% (48mm). When the first cyclic peak value reached 3% (72mm), the transverse strength decreased dramatically to 83 % of the peak strength. A
significant buckling with severe spalling of the core concrete was presented with the longitudinal
reinforcement in compression region of the plastic hinge. Meanwhile the vertical loading capacity of the
specimen was lost after the buckling of the longitudinal reinforcement. Finally, the drift ratio
approximately reached around 3% when the specimen reached the ultimate limit state of the capacity.
Meanwhile the displacement ductility ratio reached around 4.48. The typical experiment observations of
column L-1 was showed in Fig.7.

**Specimen L-2**

The yielding load and yielding drift ratio of Specimen L-2 reached 160.7kN and 0.62% (14.9mm) at the
drift ratio of 0.75% respectively. During the 2nd cycle at the drift ratio of 2%, the lateral strength
dropped down to 79% of the maximum strength in both directions of loading. The loading steadily
increased with the decrement of the lateral strength. Thereafter, the transverse strength decreased to
55.8% of the peak strength after the drift ratio reached 2%. Meanwhile the vertical capacity was lost
when the longitudinal reinforcement near neutral axis was buckled. Finally the failure pattern is almost
the same as specimen L-1.

**Specimen L-3**

The yielding load and yielding drift ratio of Specimen L-3 were 179.7kN and 0.65% (15.7mm)
respectively. When the first cycle at the drift ratio 2% (48mm) was reached, peeling and crushing of the
core concrete occurred near the compression side in plastic hinge. After the first cycle at the drift ratio
2%, the transverse strength in both directions reduced to 75.6% of the maximum strength in two
directions. The decreased loading sequence was applied to the specimen after the peak cycle appeared,
specimen performed well without any new cracks or spallings occurred in this loading sequence. During
the 2nd constant cycle of 2%, the lateral capacity of the maximum strength was dropped down to 67.8%
Then the vertical capacity of the specimen was lost at the same time. There was no significant difference between L-1 and L-2 when failure occurred following the buckling of the longitudinal reinforcement.

**Analysis of Deformation and Energy**

*Hysteretic curves and envelope curves*

The lateral force-displacement hysteretic responses and the corresponding envelopes of different specimens are shown in Figs.8-9. As shown in Fig.8, all the specimens were stably exhibited with hysteretic characteristics. Shapes of the hysteretic loops were full without obvious appearance of shear cracks before the buckling of the longitudinal reinforcement. The dispassion of the energy was mainly caused by the tension and compression the material. This indicated reasonably satisfactory energy absorption properties. Linear response was showed with L-1 and L-2 at the initial loading stage, and the yield point reached at the drift ratio of 0.01 (total displacement equals 24mm). A slightly increase in the load carrying capacity was evident because of strain hardening, and the maximum applied horizontal force was reached, thereafter, the load dropped fast following the buckling of the longitudinal bar and the crushing of the concrete. L-1 lost its capacity at the drift ratio of 0.03 with less hysteretic loops, while L-2 presented much more loops which demonstrated good ductility under the corresponding loading sequence. L-3 was subjected to the decreasing displacement loading sequence, and the yield point and strain hardening stage appeared when the first cyclic load was reached.

The envelope curves of three specimens were demonstrated in Fig.9. In the figure it can be found that the force increased linearly with the drift ratio at the beginning stage, and the increasing indicated that each specimen behaved in its elastic stage. With the increasing of the drift ratio, the lateral force decreased with the increment of the displacement. The shapes of three envelope curves matched well in the elastic stage, however, L-3 reached a slightly larger lateral force which may be resulted from the
largest concrete strength existed in the specimen. Additionally, a relatively larger drift ratio was presented with L-1 which is due to the specific loading sequence.

### Stiffness degradation

The research on the degradation of stiffness is important to the seismic performance of the column under reversed cyclic loading. To investigate the degradation of column’s stiffness, average secant stiffness is defined as Eq. (3),

$$K_{ji} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} V_{ji}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{ji}}$$  (3)

In which, $K_{ji}$ means the average secant stiffness at the $j$th amplitude in loading history; $V_{ji}$ denotes the force recorded in $i$th cycles at $j$th amplitude; $\Delta_{ji}$ is the displacement in $i$th cycles at $j$th amplitude.

Average secant stiffness of L-2 and L-3 were compared in Fig.10, in which the positive and negative value denoted the average secant stiffness of the first few cycles in the loading history. The initial values are different in the positive and negative directions, which caused the loading sequence as well as the eccentric loading axial force. At the beginning stage before the drift ratio at 1%, there was a large difference between the average secant stiffness of L-2 and L-3. The difference may result from the different confining stress of the two specimens. In the constant loading procedure, the average secant stiffness remained almost the same. Although L-2 and L-3 were subjected to different loading sequences, the average secant stiffness was gradually approaching after the 15th cycle. From this figure it was demonstrated that the sequence appears of the displacement peak value was showed no obvious influence on the secant stiffness.

### Lateral capacity

The failure pattern of the specimens was dominated by the buckling of longitudinal bars and the crushing of the compression concrete dominated the failure pattern of the specimens. The lateral load
capacity of L-1, L-2 and L-3 were 220kN, 200kN and 230kN respectively. A comparison was made between L-2 and L-3. Both specimens achieved their lateral load capacity at the same drift ratio (1.5%) within different cycle sequences. The residual stiffness of the column remained almost the same at the end of the reversed sequence loading. When the constant amplitude cycles the stiffness of L-3 quickly decreased, the failure occurred after the 2nd constant cycle at the drift ratio of 2%, however, the failure occurred after 5 constant cycles at the same loading level for L-2. The difference demonstrates that the sequence in loading history has an important influence on the lateral capacity of the columns. It can be inferred that the peak loading appears in the early stage of the loading history would lead to an earlier buckling of the longitudinal bars.

Energy dissipation analysis

Energy was continually dissipated in the reversed cyclic loading procedure. The enveloped area between the loading curve and the displacement axis denotes the amount of absorbed energy, while the amount of dissipated energy in the specimen was indicated by the enveloped area between the unloaded curve and displacement axis. In the enveloped area of A-B-C-D-E in Fig.11, one hysteretic loop denotes the amount of dissipated energy of the specimen in one reserved cyclic loading procedure. The cumulative energy dissipation curves of L-2 and L-3 were shown in Fig.12. It can be found that the paths of the curves were different due to the first 14 cycles were different. At the 16th cycle, the cumulative energy dissipation curves almost coincided, however the energy dissipation ability for L-2 was much better than L-3. It was found that L-3 lost its capacity at the 16th cycle, while L-2 still maintained its lateral capacity until the 19th cycle. It was clearly showed that the sequence of the loading history is critical to the energy dissipation capacity.

Stress and Strain Analysis

Distribution of hoop strain
The hoop strain along the height of the column is illustrated in Fig. 13, in which the strains nearby the bottom and the top end of the specimens are plotted respectively. The \( y \)-coordinate means the strain gauge number and the distance from the position of the measurement point to the end of the column. \( x \)-coordinate means the magnitude of strain in the hoops. It was clearly showed that in the figure the maximum hoop strain located at 180mm away from the bottom end of the column, and the finding was almost identical with the test observations of Xiao et al (1998). The strain along \( L-2 \) is increased with the increment of loading drift ratio. When the loading drift ratio was less than 1.5% in Fig. 13 (a), the maximum hoop strain appeared at \( T-1 \) and \( B-1 \) around the end of the specimen began to reach yield strain (2300 \( \mu \varepsilon \)). When the drift ratio reached 1.75%, the strain along \( T-2 \) and \( B-2 \) will dramatically increase beyond the strain along \( T-1 \) and \( B-1 \). The fact demonstrated the buckling of the longitudinal bar occurred at the corresponding location. When the drift ratio reached 2%, \( T-2 \) hoop strain increased with the increment of the cycles, which is caused by the deformation of the concrete as well as the yielding of the longitudinal bar. As to \( L-3 \), the hoop strain decreased with the decrement of the drift ratio after the peak drift ratio was exceeded, however the rate of descent is in a small extent. When loaded into the constant loading sequence, the hoop strain increased once again.

\( T-2 \) strain distributions measured from the circumferentially arranged strain gauges along the hoop \( L-2 \) in each displacement increment of specimens are plotted in Fig. 14 (a)-(b). It can be seen that at the beginning, the strain distribution remained constant before the drift ratio was less than 1.25%, which means the hoops were in elastic stage. However, the hoop strain at Location 16# exceeded 2000\( \mu \varepsilon \) when the drift ratio reached 1.5%. Thereafter the drift angle exceeded 1.75%, and the hoop strains at Locations 1#, 2#, 8#, 10# and 16# increased quickly. It indicated the progress of cracks in core concrete as well as the buckling of the longitudinal bar, which demonstrated the increasing of confinement action of the hoop. The hoop strains at Locations 10#, 1#, 3# and 4# still increased with the decrement of the loading.
amplitude when the peak loading sequence was exceeded. Finally, in the subsequent uniform loading sequence stage, the hoop strain increased once again until the experiment was ended.

The circumferential distribution of hoop stress was evaluated by measuring the stress-strain relationship in the material test. A numerical model proposed by Menegotto and Pinto (1973) was used due to the lack of cyclic test data. By substituting the measured strain data obtained from monotonic loading test into the numerical model, the circumferential distribution of confining stress was calculated and plotted in Fig.15. The maximum confining stress was about 2MPa, and average value of the whole section was around 1.5MPa. Under this confining stress level, the compressive strength of concrete was estimated to increase by 15% to 20%. The ductility of specimen was significantly improved by the lateral confining stress although the increment of the concrete strength had less influence on the loading capacity of column.

Confining Stress Analysis of Section

Based on Mander’s confinement model, a certain relationship was found between the ultimate limit strength and the confining stress of the section. The confining stress in the core concrete can be solved if the tension force of the hoops are available based on the force equilibrium condition. The average confining stress proposed by Mander et al. (1988) was shown as Eq. (4) in Fig. 16,

\[
f_l = \frac{(f_{s1} + f_{s2}) A_s}{Sd_s}
\]  

(4)

In which \( f_l \) means the average confining stress in the section; \( f_{s1} \) and \( f_{s2} \) are hoop stress; \( A_s \) denotes the area of hoop strain; \( S \) is the distance of hoops and \( d_s \) is the diameter of the section centerline. The hoop stress was deduced from the measured hoop strain based on ‘Steel02’ stress-strain model, which was proposed in Opensees (Open System for Earthquake Engineering Simulation, 2008) as shown in Fig.17.
The tension force is non-uniformly distributed along the hoop. As to simplification, the confining stress is assumed to be linearly distributed in this paper. Based on the force and moment equilibrium conditions, two equations can be deduced as Eqs. (5) and (6).

\[
\frac{1}{2} (f_{l1} + f_{l2}) s_d = (f_{s1} + f_{s2}) A_s \quad (5)
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} f_{l1} s_d d_s + \frac{1}{3} (f_{l2} - f_{l1}) s_d d_s = f_{s2} A_s d_s \quad (6)
\]

The confining stress distributions were demonstrated in Figs.18-19 when the drift ratio equals +1% and ultimate state separately. In these figures, the encoding No. N# is the same as the number shown in Fig.4. The value after the encoding No. N# shows the hoop stress. Based on the results above, it can be concluded that the hoop stress is non-uniformly distributed across the whole section. The hoop stress value is larger in compression section especially nearby the longitudinal bars, while around neutral axis it is pretty small. The calculated confining stress is 2.04MPa after the measured yielding strain of the hoop was substituted into Mander’s equation. In these figures, it was found the average confining stress is less than analytical confined stress (2.04MPa) calculated by Mander’s equation, which may result from the hoop stress is non-uniformly distributed due to eccentric compression on the section, both sides of which can hardly reach the yielding strength.

Plastic Hinge Analysis

Length of the plastic hinge

Generally there are two methods for measuring the length of plastic hinge. The first one is to directly measure the length of the spalling concrete at top and bottom end of the column, and the second one is to utilize inverse analysis to estimate the length of the plastic hinge at either the yielding point or the ultimate point in terms of the force-displacement envelope curve (Pam 2009, Paulay 1992). In Fig.20, it can be found that the height of spalling concrete in plastic hinge region of L-2 and L-3 are almost
identical. At the end of loading experiment for L-2, quite a few small cracks were found within 100mm length outside the plastic hinge L-3 remained intact without any cracks at the same region. In Table 2, the average calculating plastic hinge length for L-2 was 108.3mm while L-3 was only 100.8mm, which showed the flexure failure dominated the failure of RC column. At the same time the appearance of the largest displacement in the loading procedure showed less influence on the length of the plastic hinge. The calculated length of the plastic hinge was only about half of which estimated by different scholars since the length of the plastic hinge related to ultimate displacement. The proposed analytical formula was derived for length of plastic hinge calculation based on a gradual increasing loading procedure until the failure occurred, while the loading patterns used in this paper were different, so it resulted in underestimating the ultimate drift ratio. The calculated length of the plastic hinge was less than which calculated by the empirical formula, and it was also verified by El-Bahy and Kunnath (1999). The measured lengths for L-2 and L-3 were pretty close, indicating the sequence appearance of the maximum loading cycle has no obvious relationship with the length of plastic hinge.

**Deformation Analysis**

The measured deformation of the specimen is composed of such three deformation components as shear deformation (Fig. 21 (a)), bending deformation (Fig. 21 (b)) and bonding slip deformation of the longitudinal bar (Fig. 21 (c)) due to the rotation of the bottom column. The total deformation of the RC columns was dominated by three kinds of deformations under the cyclic loading.

**Shear deformation component**

The displacement transducers were instrumented in plastic hinge region to measure shear deformation. The equation for calculating diagonal cracking load of RC column was shown in Eqs. (7)-(9) (Halil Sezen (2006)). The cracking load of diagonal crack for L series column will reach 326.1kN, which is far beyond the peak loading of the specimen. In the experiment there were no more diagonal cracks
appeared, and the specimen presented classic bending failure mode. In this paper, the shear deformation just occupied a small part of the total deformation, which can be considered together with bending deformation.

\[
V_{cr} = \left( \frac{P}{50000} + 0.0062 \right) \frac{GA}{L} \tag{7}
\]

\[
G = 0.4E_c \tag{8}
\]

\[
E_c = 4730\sqrt{f'_c} \tag{9}
\]

In which, \(V_{cr}\), \(P\), \(G\), \(L\), \(A\), \(E_c\), \(f'_c\) respectively denote the cracking load of the diagonal cracks, axial force, shear stiffness, height of the column, cross section area, elastic modulus of concrete and cylinder compression strength. Here the cylinder compression strength was chosen as 0.8 times of cubic compression strength.

**Bonding-slip deformation component**

Bonding-slip deformation is caused by the slip of the longitudinal bar from the footing. The deformation would result in the rotation of the column as a rigid body. The instrumentation for displacement transducer was shown in Fig.5 and Fig. 22. LVDTs were utilized to measure the deformation on both sides of the section, and the average curvature of the section, which is considered to be uniformly distributed along the measurement height. The curvatures were calculated by Eqs. (10)-(11).

\[
Level 1 \quad \Phi_1 = \frac{(\Delta_{L1} - \Delta_{R1})}{L_1H_1} \tag{10}
\]

\[
Level 2 \quad \Phi_2 = \frac{(\Delta_{L2} - \Delta_{R2})}{L_2H_2} \tag{11}
\]
In which, $\Delta_{L1}$, $\Delta_{L2}$, $\Delta_{R1}$ and $\Delta_{R2}$ mean the corresponding deformation measured by LVDTs on right or left at first level and the second level to the footing; $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_2$ are corresponding curvatures at first level and the second level; $H_1$ and $H_2$ means the distance of adjacent embedded bar at 1st and 2nd level; $L_1$ and $L_2$ denotes the distance between LVDT center line at 1st and 2nd level the center horizontal distances of LVDTs on both sides. Curvature measured at level 1 contains both the flexural curvature and the bonding-slip induced curvature. Considering the relative small length of level 1, the flexural curvature can be separated by subtracting the measured curvature at level 2. The bonding-slip rotation can then be estimated by Eq.(12),

$$\theta = H_1(\Phi_2 - \Phi_1)$$

In which, $\theta$ means the rotation at the end of the column. After the rotation of both ends of column has been calculated, the bonding-slip displacement component can be expressed as Eq. (13),

$$\Delta_{slip} = \frac{(\theta_{slip, top} + \theta_{slip, bottom})L}{2}$$

In the equation, $\Delta_{slip}$ means bonding-slip displacement, $\theta_{slip, top}$ and $\theta_{slip, bottom}$ are rotation angles at both ends of the column, and $L$ means the height of the column.

In Fig. 23 it can be found that the measured bonding-slip displacement has similar trend with the lateral loading. The similarity lies in the bonding effect of the longitudinal bar generated by the cyclic reversed loading. In other word, the stress of the longitudinal bar has linear relationship with the amount of unplug effect of the rebar.

**Bending deformation component**

In this paper, the bending deformation component is obtained by subtracting the bonding-slip deformation from the total deformation as shown in Eq.14,
\[
\Delta_f = \Delta_{\text{total}} - \Delta_{\text{slip}}
\]  

(14)

The bending deformation for L-2 and L-3 are shown in Fig. 24. Although the total deformation is constant in uniform loading sequence, the bonding-slip deformation decreased with the decrement of bonding-slip deformation. The difference indicated that the bending deformation actually increased with the increment of the curvature in plastic hinge. The increment of the curvature will result in the increment of concrete and steel strain. Thus, the member failed via the accumulated damage in the member.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Three RC circular column specimens without an effective concrete cover were tested under constant axial compressive and cyclic lateral loading. The seismic behavior of the specimens under different loading paths is examined to study the influence of displacement history sequence on the seismic behavior of the columns. Based on the analysis results and comparisons with theoretical predictions, the following conclusions were reached:

1. The limit drift angle of L-1 is 3% and the displacement ductility factor is 4.47. The fact demonstrated that a lack of ductility reserve would be led by the RC frame columns designed based on current Chinese seismic code will lead to a lack of ductility reserve. As for L-3, the average secant stiffness under the gradually decreased loading sequence after the peak loading is close to the average secant stiffness at the peak loading. Compared with the average secant stiffness of L-2, the secant stiffness of L-3 corresponding to the non-peak loading is obviously less than which of L-2. However, the secant stiffness of L-2 and L-3 at the peak loading is almost the same, which shows the secant stiffness at the peak loading has no obvious relationship with the sequence of appearance of the peak loading. In addition, the cumulative energy dissipation ability was independent to the sequence of appearance of the peak loading.
(2) From the top and bottom end of the column, the maximum strain in plastic hinge region generally appears at the height of the half column diameter. The length of plastic hinge relies on the limit displacement, so the length of the plastic hinge for L-2 and L-3 are obviously less than the calculation value by empirical equations. However, the calculated length of the plastic hinge of these two specimens is almost identical. The sequence of appearance of peak loading is independent to the length of plastic hinge.

(3) Due to the eccentric compression of the section, both sides of the hoop stress can hardly reach yielding stress. The actual confinement stress of the specimen was overestimated by the calculated confinement stress based on Mander’s equation.

(4) Three kinds of deformations were separated from the total deformations of the RC columns under the cyclic loading. One similar trend was found between the measured bonding-slip displacement and the lateral loading. The similarity lies in the bonding effect of the longitudinal bar generated by the cyclic reversed loading. The bending deformation actually increased with the increment of the curvature in plastic hinge.
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Table 1 Column specimen test parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Transverse Reinforcement</th>
<th>Longitudinal Reinforcement</th>
<th>Concrete strength *</th>
<th>Axial Compression Ratio</th>
<th>Axial load (kN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L-1</td>
<td>Circular Hoops</td>
<td>8×HRB400</td>
<td>48.08</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-2</td>
<td>D=10mm</td>
<td>D=14mm</td>
<td>43.05</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$f_y=468\text{MPa}$</td>
<td>$f_y=421\text{MPa}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-3</td>
<td>$f_u=615\text{MPa}$</td>
<td>$f_u=543\text{MPa}$</td>
<td>52.44</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes: Based on specifications in the Chinese design code of concrete structures, the axial compressive strength of the concrete cylinder is approximately 80% of the cube compressive strength, and the data were measured from cube compressive strength.
Table 2 Length of plastic hinge (mm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Upper end</th>
<th>Lower end</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Park(1994)</th>
<th>Priestley(1992)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observed value</td>
<td>Inversed analysis value</td>
<td>Observed value</td>
<td>Inversed analysis value</td>
<td>Inversed analysis value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-2</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-3</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>107.2</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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